AS 10 – PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Illustration 1 (MTP Oct'19, Oct 20, Mar 22)

(Capitalising the cost of "Remodelling" a Supermarket)

Entity A, a supermarket chain, is renovating one of its major stores. The store will have more available space for in store promotion outlets after the renovation and will include a restaurant. Management is preparing the budgets for the year after the store reopens, which include the cost of remodelling and the expectation of a 15% increase in sales resulting from the store renovations, which will attract new customers. State whether the remodelling cost will be capitalised or not.

Solution

The expenditure in remodelling the store will create future economic benefits (in the form of 15% of increase in sales) and the cost of remodelling can be measured reliably, therefore, it should be capitalised.

Illustration 2 (MTP March 18, Oct '18, May 20, Apr'21, Apr'22, Oct '22)

Entity A has an existing freehold factory property, which it intends to knock down and redevelop. During the redevelopment period the company will move its production facilities to another (temporary) site. The following incremental costs will be incurred:

1. Setup costs of ₹ 5,00,000 to install machinery in the new location.
2. Rent of ₹ 15,00,000
3. Removal costs of ₹ 3,00,000 to transport the machinery from the old location to the temporary location.

Can these costs be capitalised into the cost of the new building? **(5 Marks)**

Solution

Constructing or acquiring a new asset may result in incremental costs that would have been avoided if the asset had not been constructed or acquired. These costs are not to be included in the cost of the asset if they are not directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. The costs to be incurred by the company are in the nature of costs of relocating or reorganising operations of the company and do not meet the requirement of AS 10 (Revised) and therefore, cannot be capitalised.

Illustration 3 (RTP Nov 20)

Omega Ltd. contracted with a supplier to purchase machinery which is to be installed in its one department in three months' time. Special foundations were required for the machinery which were to be prepared within this supply lead time.

The cost of the site preparation and laying foundations were ₹ 1,40,000. These activities were supervised by a technician during the entire period, who is employed for this purpose of ₹ 45,000 per month. The machine was purchased at ₹ 1,58,00,000 and ₹ 50,000 transportation charges were incurred to bring the machine to the factory site. An Architect was appointed at a fee of ₹ 30,000 to supervise machinery installation at the factory site. You are required to ascertain the amount at which the Machinery should be capitalized.

Solution

Particulars		**₹**
Purchase Price	Given	1,58,00,000
Add: Site Preparation Cost	Given	1,40,000
Technician's Salary	Specific/Attributable overheads for 3 months (45,000 x 3)	1,35,000
Initial Delivery Cost	Transportation	50,000
Professional Fees for Installation	Architect's Fees	30,000
Total Cost of Machinery		1,61,55,000

Illustration 4 (MTP March '21, Sep '22, Oct '23) (PYP Nov'18)

Entity A, which operates a major chain of supermarkets, has acquired a new store location. The new location requires significant renovation expenditure.

Management expects that the renovations will last for 3 months during which the supermarket will be closed.

Management has prepared the budget for this period including expenditure related to construction and remodelling costs, salaries of staff who will be preparing the store before its pening and related utilities costs. What will be the treatment of such expenditures? **(5 Marks)**

Solution

Management should capitalise the costs of construction and remodelling the supermarket, because they are necessary to bring the store to the condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. The supermarket cannot be opened without incurring the remodelling expenditure, and thus the expenditure should be considered part of the asset.

However, if the cost of salaries, utilities and storage of goods are in the nature of operating expenditure that would be incurred if the supermarket was open, then these costs are not necessary to bring the store to the condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management and should be expensed.

Illustration 5 (Operating costs incurred in the start-up period)

An amusement park has a 'soft' opening to the public, to trial run its attractions.

Tickets are sold at a 50% discount during this period and the operating capacity is 80%. The official opening day of the amusement park is three months later.

Management claim that the soft opening is a trial run necessary for the amusement park to be in the condition capable of operating in the intended manner.

Accordingly, the net operating costs incurred should be capitalised. Comment.

Solution

The net operating costs should not be capitalised but should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

Even though it is running at less than full operating capacity (in this case 80% of operating capacity), there is sufficient evidence that the amusement park is capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Therefore, these costs are specific to the start-up and, therefore, should be expensed as incurred.

Illustration 6 (Consideration received comprising a combination of non-monetary and monetary assets)

Entity A exchanges land with a book value of ₹ 10,00,000 for cash of ₹ 20,00,000 and plant and machinery valued at ₹ 25,00,000. What will be the measurement cost of the assets received. (Consider that the transaction has commercial substance)?

Solution

In the given case, Plant & Machinery is valued at ₹ 25,00,000, which is assumed to be fair value in absence of information. Further, since fair value of land (asset given up) is not given, the transaction will be recorded at fair value of assets acquired of ₹ 45,00,000 (₹ Cash 20,00,000 + ₹ Plant & Machinery 25,00,000). Since land of book value ₹ 10,00,000 is transferred in exchange of assets worth ₹ 45,00,000, a gain of ₹ 35,00,000 will be recognised in the books of Entity A.

.

The following journal entry will be passed in the books of Entity A:

Cash/ Bank A/c	Dr.	20,00,000	
Plant & Machinery A/c	Dr.	25,00,000	
To Land			10,00,000

To Profit on Sale of Land (balancing figure) 35,00,000

Illustration 7 (Exchange of assets that lack commercial substance)

Entity A exchanges car X with a book value of ₹ 13,00,000 and a fair value of ₹ 13,25,000 for cash of ₹ 15,000 and car Y which has a fair value of ₹ 13,10,000.

The transaction lacks commercial substance as the company's cash flows are not expected to change as a result of the exchange. It is in the same position as it was before the transaction. What will be the measurement cost of the assets received?

Solution

Since the transaction lacks commercial substance, the entity recognises the assets received at the book value of car X. Therefore, it recognises cash of ₹ 15,000 and car Y as PPE with a carrying value of ₹ 12,85,000.

The following journal entry will be passed in the books of Entity A:

Cash/ Bank A/c Dr. 15,000

Car Y A/c (balancing figure) Dr. 12,85,000

To Car X A/c 13,00,000

Illustration 8

What happens if the cost of the previous part/inspection was/ was not identified in the transaction in which the item was acquired or constructed?

Solution

De-recognition of the carrying amount occurs regardless of whether the cost of the previous part/inspection was identified in the transaction in which the item was acquired or constructed.

Illustration 9

What will be your answer in the above question, if it is not practicable for an enterprise to determine the carrying amount of the replaced part/inspection?

Solution

It may use the cost of the replacement or the estimated cost of a future similar inspection as an indication of what the cost of the replaced part/existing inspection component was when the item was acquired or constructed.

Illustration 10 (Revaluation on a class by class basis)

Entity A is a large manufacturing group. It owns a number of industrial buildings, such as factories and warehouses and office buildings in several capital cities. The industrial buildings are located in industrial zones, whereas the office buildings are in central business districts of the cities. Entity A's management want to apply the revaluation model as per AS 10 (Revised) to the subsequent measurement of the office buildings but continue to apply the historical cost model to the industrial buildings.

State whether this is acceptable under AS 10 (Revised) or not with reasons?

Solution

Entity A's management can apply the revaluation model only to the office buildings. The office buildings can be clearly distinguished from the industrial buildings in terms of their function, their nature and their general location.AS 10 (Revised) permits assets to be revalued on a class by class basis.

The different characteristics of the buildings enable them to be classified as different PPE classes. The different measurement models can, therefore, be applied to these classes for subsequent measurement.

However, all properties within the class of office buildings must be carried at revalued amount.

Solution:

According to AS 10 (Revised), these costs can be capitalised:

1. Cost of the plant	₹ 25,00,000
2. Initial delivery and handling costs	₹ 2,00,000
3. Cost of site preparation	₹ 6,00,000
4. Consultants' fees	₹ 7,00,000
5. Present Value of Estimated dismantling costs to be incurred after 7 years	₹ 3,00,000
	₹ 43,00,000

Note: Interest charges paid on "Deferred credit terms" to the supplier of the plant (not a qualifying asset) of ₹ 2,00,000 and operating losses before commercial production amounting to ₹ 4,00,000 are not regarded as directly attributable costs and thus cannot be capitalised. They should be written off to the Statement of Profit and Loss in the period they are incurred.

Illustration 24

Arka Ltd. purchased machinery for ₹ 3,000 lakhs. Depreciation was charged at 10% on SLM basis for a useful life of 10 years. At the end of Year 4, the machinery was revalued to ₹ 2,700 lakhs and the same was adopted. What will be the carrying amount of the asset at the end of Year 5 and Year 6? Assume no change in the useful life.

Solution:

Particulars	**₹ in lakhs**
Original Cost of the Asset	3,000.00
Less: Depreciation for 4 years (₹ 3,000 lakhs x 10% x 4 years)	(1,200.00)
Book Value at the end of Year 4	1,800.00
Add: Revaluation Surplus (balancing figure)	900.00
Revalued Amount as given (= revised depreciable value)	2,700.00
Less: Depreciation for Year 5 (₹ 2,700 lakhs ÷ 6 years)	450.00
Carrying Amount at the end of Year 5	2,250.00
Less: Depreciation for Year 6 (₹ 2,700 lakhs ÷ 6 years)	450.00
Carrying Amount at the end of Year 6	1,800.00

Illustration 25

Skanda Ltd. acquired a machinery for ₹ 2,50,00,000 five years ago. Depreciation was charged at 10% p.a. on SLM basis, useful life being 10 years. =At the beginning of Year 3, the machinery was revalued to ₹ 3,00,00,000 with the surplus on revaluation being credited to Revaluation Reserve. Depreciation was provided on the revalued amount over the balance useful life of 8 years. The machinery was sold in the current year for ₹ 1,12,50,000. Give the accounting treatment for the above in the Company's accounts. What will be the treatment if the machinery fetched only ₹ 42,50,000 now?

Solution:

Particulars	**₹**
Original Cost of the Asset	2,50,00,000
Less: Depreciation for 2 years (₹ 2,50,00,000 x 10% x 2 years)	50,00,000
Book Value at the beginning of Year 3	2,00,00,000
Add: Revaluation Surplus (balancing figure)	1,00,00,000
Revalued Amount as given (= revised depreciable value)	3,00,00,000
Less: Depreciation for Years 3-5 (₹ 3,00,00,000 ÷ 8 yrs x 3 yrs)	1,12,50,000

Carrying Amount at the end of Year 5	1,87,50,000

The treatment of Gain / Loss on Disposal / Revaluation is as below:

Particulars	Disposal Proceeds = ₹ 1,12,50,000	Disposal Proceeds = ₹ 42,50,000
Book Value Less Disposal Proceeds = Loss recognized in Profit or Loss	₹ 1,87,50,000 – ₹ 1,12,50,000 = ₹ 75,00,000 (Loss)	₹ 1,87,50,000 – ₹ 42,50,000 = ₹ 1,45,00,000 (Loss)
Revaluation Surplus directly transferred to Retained Earnings	₹ 1,00,00,000	₹ 1,00,00,000

Illustration 26

Akshar Ltd. installed a new Plant (not a qualifying asset), at its production facility, and incurred the following costs:

- Cost of the Plant (as per supplier's invoice): ₹ 30,00,000
- Initial delivery and handling costs: ₹ 1,00,000
- Cost of site preparation: ₹ 2,00,000
- Consultant fee for advice on acquisition of Plant: ₹ 50,000
- Interest charges paid to supplier against deferred credit: ₹ 1,00,000
- Estimate of Dismantling and Site Restoration costs: ₹ 50,000 after 10 years (Present Value is ₹ 30,000)
- Operating losses before commercial production: ₹ 40,000

The company identified motors installed in the Plant as a separate component and a cost of ₹ 5,00,000 (Purchase Price) and other costs were allocated to them proportionately. The company estimates the useful life of the Plant and those of the Motors as 10 years and 6 years respectively and SLM method of Depreciation is used.

At the end of Year 4, the company replaces the Motors installed in the Plant at a cost of ₹ 6,00,000 and estimated the useful life of new motors to be 5 years. Also, the company revalued its entire class of Fixed Assets at the end of Year 4. The revalued amount of Plant as a whole is ₹ 25,00,000. At the end of Year 8, the company decides to retire the Plant from active use and also disposed the Plant as a whole for ₹ 6,00,000.

There is no change in the Dismantling and Site Restoration liability during the period of use. You are required to explain how the above transaction would be accounted in accordance with AS 10.

Solution:

1. Cost at Initial Recognition:

Particulars	₹
Cost of the Plant (as per Invoice)	30,00,000
Initial Delivery and Handling Costs	1,00,000
Cost of Site Preparation	2,00,000
Consultants' Fees	50,000
Estimated Dismantling and Site Restoration Costs	30,000
Total Cost of Plant including Motors	33,80,000
Less: Cost of Motors identified as a separate component (1/6)*	5,63,333
Cost of the Plant (excluding Motors – balance 5/6)	**28,16,667**

* Purchase price of Motors = ₹ 5,00,000 out of ₹ 30,00,000 i.e., 1/6 of value of Plant

Note: Since the asset is not a qualifying asset, payment of interest to the supplier is not capitalized. Further, operating losses of ₹ 40,000 incurred before commercial production is not a directly attributable cost, and hence excluded from cost of asset. These costs are expensed to the P/L as and when they are incurred.

2. Recognition of Motors Replacement

Particulars	₹
Cost of Motors determined above	5,63,333
Less: Depreciation for 4 years (as per SLM)	3,75,555
5,63,333 ÷ 6 years x 4 years	
Carrying Amount of Motors at the end of Year 4	**1,87,778**

Accounting: The company should derecognize the existing Carrying Amount of Motors replaced of ₹ 1,87,778. Further, the acquisition cost of new motors of ₹ 6,00,000 would be capitalized as a separate component. This amount will be depreciated over the next 5 years at ₹ 6,00,000 ÷ 5 years = ₹ 1,20,000 p.a.

3. Revaluation

Particulars	**₹**
Cost of the Plant at initial recognition [from (1) above]	28,16,667
Less: SLM Depreciation for 4 years: ₹ 28,16,667 ÷ 10 years x 4 years	11,26,667
Carrying Amount of Plant at the end of Year 4	16,90,000
Revalued Amount of Plant (Excluding Motors, since the same is treated as a separate component: ₹ 25,00,000 – ₹ 6,00,000)	19,00,000
Therefore, Gain on Revaluation credited to Revaluation Reserve	**2,10,000**
Revised Depreciation Charge p.a.: 19,00,000 ÷ 6 years	**3,16,667**

4. Derecognition

Particulars	**Motors**	**Plant (excluding Motors)**
Cost / Revalued Amount at end of Year 4	6,00,000	19,00,000
Less: Depreciation for Years 5-8	1,20,000 x 4 = 4,80,000	3,16,667 x 4 =12,66,668
Carrying Amount before Disposal / Derecognition	1,20,000	6,33,332
Less: Disposal Proceeds ₹ 6,00,000 allocated in ratio of carrying amount	95,575	5,04,425
Loss to be written off to P/L	**24,425**	**1,28,907**

Notes:

(a) The Revaluation Surplus of ₹ 2,10,000 would be transferred directly to Retained Earnings.

(b) The allocation of disposal proceeds of ₹ 6,00,000 for the plant as whole is apportioned based on carrying amount of motors and plant (excluding motors)

Alternatively, it may be apportioned as 1/6 towards motors and 5/6 plant (excluding motors) based on the reasoning that the initially, motors amounted to 1/6 of the entire plant. This approach may not be preferable because there has been a revaluation of the plant (excluding motors) and a disposal and subsequent acquisition of the Motor, which is not in the initial proportion of 5/6 and 1/6 respectively.

Illustration 27

Bharat Infrastructure Ltd. acquired a heavy machinery at a cost of ₹ 1,000 lakhs, the breakdown of its components is not provided. The estimated useful life of the machinery is 10 years. At the end of Year 6,

the turbine, which is a major component of the machinery, needed replacement, as further usage and maintenance was uneconomical. The remainder of the machine is in good condition and is expected to last for the remaining 4 years. The cost of the new turbine is ₹ 450 lakhs. Give the accounting treatment for the new turbine, assuming SLM Depreciation and a discount rate of 8%.

Solution:

As per AS 10, Property, Plant and Equipment, the derecognition of the carrying amount of components of an item of Property, Plant and Equipment occurs regardless of whether the cost of the previous part / inspection was identified in the transaction in which the item was acquired or constructed. If it is not practicable for an enterprise to determine the carrying amount of the replaced part/ inspection, it may use the cost of the replacement or the estimated cost of a future similar inspection as an indication of what the cost of the replaced part/ existing inspection component was when the item was acquired or constructed.

In the given case, the new turbine will produce economic benefits to Bharat Infrastructure Ltd. and the cost is measurable. Since the recognition criteria is fulfilled, the same should be recognised as a separate item of Property, Plant and Equipment. However, since the initial breakup of the components is not available, the cost of the replacement of ₹ 450 lakhs can be used as an indication based on the guidance given above, discounted at 8% for the 6-year period lapsed.

Thus, estimate of cost 6 years back = ₹ 450 lakhs ÷ 1.086 = ₹ 283.58 lakhs Current carrying amount of turbine (to be de-recognised) = Estimated cost ₹ 283.58 lakhs (–) SLM depreciation at 10% (useful life 10 years) for 6 years ₹ 170.15 lakhs= ₹ 113.43 lakhs.

Hence revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as under:

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
Historical Cost [₹ 1,000 lakhs (–) SLM Depreciation at 10% (10 year life) for 6 years]	400.00
Add: Cost of new turbine	450.00
Less: Derecognition of current carrying amount of old turbine	(113.43)
New Carrying Amount of Machinery	**736.57**

Illustration 28

Preet Ltd. intends to set up a steel plant, for which it has acquired a dilapidated factor having an area of 5,000 acres at a cost of ₹ 60,000 per acre. Preet Ltd. has incurred ₹ 1.10 crores on demolishing the old Factory Building thereon. A sum of ₹ 63,00,000 (including 5% GST thereon) was realized from the sale of material salvaged from the site. Preet Ltd. Incurred Stamp Duty and Registration Charges of 7% of land value, paid legal and consultancy charges ₹ 8,00,000 for land acquisition and incurred ₹ 1,25,000 on title guarantee insurance. Compute the value of the land acquired.

Solution:

Particulars	**₹**
Purchase Price: 5,000 acres x ₹ 60,000 per acre	3,000.00
Stamp Duty and Registration Charges at 7%	210.00
Legal and Consultancy Fees	8.00
Title Guarantee Insurance	1.25
Demolition Expenses (Net of Salvage Income)	
[₹ 110 lakhs (–) ₹ 60 lakhs (₹ 63 lakhs x 100/105)]	50.00
Cost of Land	**3,269.25**

From this, it can be concluded that, in the given case the expenditure incurred on these assets, i.e., railway siding, road and bridge, should be considered as the cost of constructing the refinery and accordingly, expenditure incurred on these items should be allocated and capitalized as part of the items of property, plant and equipment of the refinery.

Question 4 (RTP May 20)

The following items are given to you:

ITEMS

1. Costs of testing whether the asset is functioning properly, after deducting the net proceeds from selling any items produced while bringing the asset to that location and condition (such as samples produced when testing equipment);
2. Costs of conducting business in a new location or with a new class of customer (including costs of staff training);
3. Any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management
4. Costs of opening a new facility or business, such as, inauguration costs;
5. Purchase price, including import duties and non–refundable purchase taxes, after deducting trade discounts and rebates.

With reference to AS 10 "Property, Plant and Equipment", classify the above items under the following heads:

HEADS

(i) Purchase Price of PPE

(ii) Directly attributable cost of PPE or

(iii) Cost not included in determining the carrying amount of an item of PPE.

Solution

1) Costs of testing whether the asset is functioning properly, after deducting the net proceeds from selling any items produced while bringing the asset to that location and condition (such as samples produced when testing equipment) will be classified as "Directly attributable cost of PPE";
2) Costs of conducting business in a new location or with a new class of customer (including costs of staff training) will be classified under head (iii)as it will not be included in determining the carrying amount of an item of PPE.
3) Any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management will be included in determination of Purchase Price of PPE
4) Costs of opening a new facility or business, such as, inauguration costs will be classified under head (iii) as it will not be included in determining the carrying amount of an item of PPE.
5) Purchase price, including import duties and non–refundable purchase taxes, after deducting trade discounts and rebates will be included in determination of Purchase Price of PPE.

Question 5 (RTP Nov 19)

Shrishti Ltd. contracted with a supplier to purchase machinery which is to be installed in its Department A in three months' time. Special foundations were required for the machinery which were to be prepared within this supply lead time. The cost of the site preparation and laying foundations were Rs.1,41,870. These activities were supervised by a technician during the entire period, who is employed

for this purpose of Rs.45,000 per month. The technician's services were given by Department B to Department A, which billed the services at Rs.49,500 per month after adding 10% profit margin.

The machine was purchased at Rs.1,58,34,000 inclusive of IGST @ 12% for which input credit is available to Shrishti Ltd. Rs.55,770 transportation charges were incurred to bring the machine to the factory site. An Architect was appointed at a fee of Rs. 30,000 to supervise machinery installation at the factory site.

Ascertain the amount at which the Machinery should be capitalized under AS 10 considering that IGST credit is availed by the Shristhi Limited. Internally booked profits should be eliminated in arriving at the cost of machine.

Solution

Calculation of Cost of Property Plant & Equipment (i.e. Machinery)

Particulars		**₹**
Purchase Price	Given (Rs.158,34,000 x 100/112)	1,41,37,500
Add: Site Preparation Cost	Given	1,41,870
Technician's Salary	Specific/Attributable overheads for 3 months (See Note) (45,000 x3)	1,35,000
Initial Delivery Cost	Transportation	55,770
Professional Fees for Installation	Architect's Fees	30,000
Total Cost of Asset		1,45,00,140

Question 6 (RTP Nov'22)

RS Ltd. has acquired a heavy plant at a cost of ₹ 2,00,00,000. The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the 2nd year, one of the major components i.e., the Boiler has become obsolete (which was acquired at price of ₹ 50,00,000) and requires replacement, as further maintenance is uneconomical. The remainder of the plant is perfect and is expected to last for next 8 years. The cost of a new boiler is ₹ 60,00,000. Can the cost of the new boiler be recognised as an asset, and, if so, what should be the carrying value of the plant at the end of second year?

Solution

Recognition of Asset: The new boiler will produce economic benefits to RS Ltd., and the cost is measurable. Hence, the item should be recognized as an asset. The cost old boiler should be derecognized and the new boiler will be added.

Statement showing cost of new boiler and machine after year 2

Original cost of plant	₹ 2,00,00,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation [(2,00,00,000 /10) x 2]	₹ 40,00,000
Carrying value of the plant after two years	₹ 1,60,00,000
Less: Current Cost of Old Boiler to be derecognized	
Less: WDV of Boiler (replaced) after 2 years (50,00,000/10 x 8)	₹ 40,00,000
	₹ 1,20,00,000
Add: Cost of new Boiler to be recognized	₹ 60,00,000
Revised carrying amount of Plant	₹ 1,80,00,000

(i) As per AS 10, a decrease in the carrying amount of an asset arising on revaluation should ben charged to the statement of profit and loss. However, the decrease should be debited directly to owners' interests under the heading of revaluation surplus to the extent of any credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of that asset.

Calculation of revaluation loss and its accounting treatment

		₹
Carrying value of the asset as on 31st March, 2022	a	2,16,000
Revalued amount of the asset	b	(1,90,000)
Total revaluation loss on asset	c=a-b	26,000
Adjustment of previous revaluation reserve	d	(20,000)
Net revaluation loss to be charged to the Profit and loss account	e=c-d	6,000

(ii) AS 10 states that the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment is derecognized on disposal of the asset. It further states that the gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment should be included in the statement of profit and loss when the item is derecognized. Gains should also not be classified as revenue.

Calculation of loss on disposal of the asset and its accounting treatment

		₹
Original cost of the asset	a	76,000
Accumulated depreciation till date	b	62,000
Carrying value of the asset as on 31st March, 2022	c=a-b	14,000
Cash received on disposal of the asset	d	4,000
Loss on disposal of asset charged to the Profit and loss account	e=c-d	10,000

Question 10 (Past Exam May '23)

In the books of Top maker Limited, carrying amount of Plant and Machinery as on 1stApril, 2022 is ₹ 56,30,000. On scrutiny, it was found that a purchase of Machinery worth ₹ 21,12,000 was included in the purchase of goods on 1stJune, 2022. On 30thJune, 2022 the company disposed a Machine having book value of ₹ 9,60,000 (as on 1stApril, 2022) for ₹ 8,25,000 in part exchange of a new machine costing ₹ 15,65,000. The company charges depreciation @ 10% p.a. on written down value method on Plant and Machinery.

You are required to compute:

(i) Depreciation to be charged to Profit & Loss Account;

(ii) Book value of Plant & Machinery as on 31stMarch, 2023; and

(iii) Profit/Loss on exchange of Plant & Machinery. **(5 Marks)**

Solution

(i) Depreciation to be charged in the Profit & Loss Account

Particulars	**Amount in ₹**
Depreciation on old Machinery	1,40,750
[10% on ₹ 56,30,000 for 3 months (01.04.2022 to 30.06.2022)] Add: Depreciation on	1,76,000

Machinery acquired on 01.06.2022	
(₹21,12,000 X 10% X10/12) Add: Depreciation on Machinery after adjustment of Exchange [10% of ₹ 56,30,000 – 9,60,000 + 15,65,000) for 9 months]	4,67,625
Total Depreciation to be charged in Profit & Loss A/c	**7,84,375**

(ii) Book value of Plant & Machinery as on 31.3.2023

Particulars		**Amount in ₹**
Balance as per books on 01.04.2022		56,30,000
Add: Included in purchases on 01.06.2022	21,12,000	
Add: Purchases on 30.06.2022	15,65,000	36,77,000 93,07,000
Less: Book value of Machine sold on 30.06.2022		(9,60,000) 83,47,000
Less: Depreciation on Machinery in use ₹ (7,84,375 -24,000)		(7,60,375)
Book Value as on 31.03.2023		75,86,625

Note: The computation of depreciation and book value of Plant & Machinery can be presented in the following alternative manner:

Particulars	**Book Value or Cost or Acquisition**	**Period**	**Depreciation**	**Book Value as on 31.03.2023**
Opening Value	46,70,000 (56,30,000 – 9,60,000)	01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023	4,67,000 (46,70,000 x 10%)	42,03,000
Sold	9,60,000	01.04.2022 to 30.06.2022	24,000 (9,60,000 x 10% x 3/12)	
Purchases	21,12,000	01.06.2022 to 31.03.2023	1,76,000 (21,12,000 x 10% x 10/12)	19,36,000
New Machinery	15,65,000	01.07.2022 to 31.03.2023	1,17,375	14,47,625
			(15,65,000 x 10% x 9/12)	
Total			7,84,375	75,86,625

iii) Profit/Loss on Exchange of Machinery

Particulars	**Amount in**
Balance as per books on 01.04.2022	9,60,000
Less: Depreciation for 3 months (₹ 9,60,000 x 10 /100 x 3 / 12)	(24,000)
W.D.V. as on 30.06.2022	9,36,000
Less: Exchange value	(8,25,000)
Loss on Exchange of Machinery	1,11,000

MCQ

1. As per AS 10 (Revised) 'Property, plant and equipment', which of the following costs is not included in the carrying amount of an item of PPE
    a. Costs of site preparation
    b. Costs of relocating
    c. Installation and assembly costs.
    d. initial delivery and handling costs

2. As per AS 10 (Revised) 'Property, Plant and Equipment', an enterprise holding investment properties should value Investment property
    a. as per fair value
    b. under discounted cash flow model.
    c. under cost model
    d. under cash flow model

3. A plot of land with carrying amount of ₹ 1,00,000 was revalued to ₹ 1,50,000 at the end of Year 2. Subsequently, due to drop in market values, the land was determined to have a fair value of ₹ 1,30,000 at the end of Year 4. Assuming that the entity adopts Revaluation Model, what would be the accounting treatment of Revaluation?
    a. Initial upward valuation of ₹ 50,000 credited to Revaluation Reserve. Subsequent downward revaluation of ₹ 20,000 debited to P/L.
    b. Initial upward valuation of ₹ 50,000 credited to P/L. Subsequent downward revaluation of ₹ 20,000 debited to P/L.
    c. Initial upward valuation of ₹ 50,000 credited to Revaluation Reserve. Subsequent downward revaluation of ₹ 20,000 debited to Revaluation Reserve.
    d. Initial upward valuation of ₹ 50,000 debited to P/L. Subsequent downward revaluation of ₹ 20,000 credited to P/L.

4. A plot of land with carrying amount of ₹ 1,00,000 was revalued to ₹ 90,000 at the end of Year 2. Subsequently, due to increase in market values, the land was determined to have a fair value of ₹ 1,05,000 at the end of Year 4. Assuming that the entity adopts Revaluation Model, what would be the accounting treatment of Revaluation?
    a. Initial downward valuation of ₹ 10,000 debited to Revaluation Reserve. Subsequent upward revaluation of ₹ 15,000 credited to P/L.
    b. Initial downward valuation of ₹ 10,000 debited to P/L. Subsequent upward revaluation of ₹ 15,000 credited to P/L.
    c. Initial downward valuation of ₹ 10,000 debited to P/L. Subsequent upward revaluation of ₹ 10,000 credited to P/L and ₹ 5,000 credited to Revaluation Reserve.
    d. Initial downward valuation of ₹ 10,000 credited to P/L. Subsequent upward revaluation of ₹ 10,000 debited to P/L and ₹ 5,000 debited to Revaluation Reserve.

5. On sale of an asset which was revalued upwards, what would be the treatment of Revaluation Reserve?
    a. The Revaluation Reserve is credited to P/L since the profit on sale of such asset is now realized.
    b. The Revaluation Reserve is credited to Retained Earnings as a movement in reserves without impacting the P/L.

c. No change in Revaluation Reserve since profit on sale of such asset is already impacting the P/L.

d. The Revaluation Reserve is reduced from the asset value to compute profit or loss.

6. A machinery was purchased having an invoice price ₹ 1,18,000 (including GST ₹ 18,000) on 1 April 20X1. The GST amount is available as input tax credit.

   The rate of depreciation is 10% on SLM basis. The depreciation for 20X2-X3 would be

   a. ₹ 10,000.

   b. ₹ 11,800.

   c. ₹ 9,000.

   d. ₹ 10,500.

MCQs

1. (b) 2. (c) 3. (c) 4. (c) 5. (b) 6. (a)